The opinion in support of the decision being entered today was <u>not</u> written for publication in a law journal and is <u>not</u> binding precedent of the Board.

Paper No. 63

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS
AND INTERFENCES

DOUGLAS W. HALL and ROBERT M. HAWK

Junior Party, 1

v.

GIORGIO GRASSO, PAUL LAURENCE SCRIVENER and ANDREW PAUL APPLEYARD

Senior Party.²

Interference No. 104,075

JUDGMENT

The Grasso et al. reissue application has been assigned to the assignee of the Hall et al. patent and the common assignee has filed through its counsel a request for adverse judgment against Hall et al. as to the subject matter in issue.

Accordingly, judgment as to the subject matter of count 1, the sole count, is awarded to Giorgio Grasso, Paul Laurence Scrivener and Andrew Paul Appleyard, the senior party. Hall et al., the

¹ Application No. 07/655,726 filed February 14, 1991, now U.S. Patent No. 5,067,789 issued November 26, 1991. Assignors to Corning Inc., Corning, NY. Reissue Application No. 08/018,090 filed February 16, 1993. Assignors to Pivelli Cavi S.P.A. Accorded benefit of U.S. Application No. 07/553,246 filed July 13, 1990, now Patent No. 5,087,108 issued February 11, 1992;

Interference No. 104,075

junior party, is not entitled to a patent containing claims 1-7, 9-22 and 24-30 corresponding to count 1.

)	
Administrative	Patent	Judge)	
Administrative	Patent	Judge))))	BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES
Administrative	Patent	Judge)	

SMU:clm

Interference No. 104,075

Attorneys for Hall et al:

William J. Simmons, Jr. Corning Corp. Patent Dept. SP FR 02-12 Corning, NY 14831

Attorneys for Grasso et al.:

Albert J. Santorelli, Esq. FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW, GARRETT & DUNNER, LLP 1300 I St., N.W., Suite 700 Washington, DC 20005-3315